

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,537		10/31/2003	Dong Keun Han	8111-034-999	2697	
20583	7590	12/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JONES DAY			BERMAN, SUSAN W			
222 EAST 4 NEW YORK		0017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MEW TOIC	L , 1			1711		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	/
_	_
~	
/2	
v·	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/699,537	HAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan W. Berman	1711	

· ·	Susan W. Berman	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notica Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	he same day as filing a Notice of a ng replies: (1) an amendment, affi ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the street forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount or ortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed value. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	the test of the data of filling a being		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		p.i.a	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Fig. 1)	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:	//	usan Be	1 man
		Susan W Berman	
		Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 1711	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Obviousness-type double patenting rejection over co-pending application having later filing date is proper to prevent improper extension of time and harrassment by multiple assignees.